

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q91974

Takashi KAMIYA, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/563,220

Group Art Unit: 2121

Confirmation No.: 1492

Examiner: Sean P. SHECHTMAN

Filed: January 3, 2006

For: AUTOMATIC PROGRAMMING METHOD AND AUTOMATIC PROGRAMMING DEVICE

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This responds to the Restriction Requirement, dated December 22, 2008. In response to the Restriction Requirement, Applicant elects **Group I, claims 10-12, 16-18, 20 and 21** for examination. This election is made with traverse.

Specifically, the Examiner has already issued this Restriction Requirement on July 27, 2007 and withdrawn this Restriction Requirement in the Office Action mailed November 1, 2007. This second Restriction Requirement dated December 22, 2008 is identical to the previously withdrawn Restriction Requirement. Since the Examiner has already examined all of the claims and the amendments to the claims made in the Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114